



Jeremy Richardson Senior Portfolio Manager, RBC U.S. Equity Focus Fund

Published October 2024

"Overall, less change would support investors' ability to confidently forecast future profits."

With the day fast approaching for U.S. voters to express what they think *should* happen, we look at how equity markets and investors can only consider what *may* happen.

Key takeaways:

- A clean sweep versus a split outcome (in either direction) are equally as likely, at present.
- The latter could mean less legislative change and therefore impact equity markets positively.
- Expressing a political view via a portfolio is risky better to adjust investments on the basis of how industries and firms may change as a result of policies.

Too close to call

The United States of America goes to the polls in early November with four main outcomes possible: Republicans or Democrats secure the White House as well as Congress (the 'clean sweep'), and two outcomes where control is split, with either party controlling one of the White House or Congress but not both.

At the time of writing, national polling does not appear to indicate a lead for either candidate bigger than the forecast error and consequently the probabilities of each of the four scenarios are not significantly different. Any clean sweep outcome gives an incoming administration the greatest opportunity to implement its legislative agenda. Conversely, a split outcome complicates the passing of contentious legislation. It is interesting to note, therefore, that two of the four main outcomes are for control of the White House and Congress to be split. Because the polling remains very tight between candidates and across all four outcomes, in effect this suggests an approximately 50% chance of a moderate legislative outcome.

Such an outcome is likely to be well-received by equity markets. Investors price equities based upon what they think their future profits are worth but forecasting the size and timing of those future profits is complicated when there is lots of change. A split election result resulting in a moderate legislative agenda should mean less change than a clean sweep, supporting investors' ability to confidently forecast future profits.

Taxes and tariffs: how the future could look

Either party could still achieve a clean sweep though. There are many differences between the candidates and their manifestos, but capital markets will inevitably focus most upon those with significant economic consequences. We may group these into three:

- Corporation taxes: the Republicans favour extending low rates, the Democrats favour increases. Increases could lead to U.S. earnings falling 5-6%. The current corporation tax rates, lowered by former President Trump, expire in 2025 and are unlikely to be extended for any outcome other than a Republican clean sweep of both the White House and Congress. Higher corporation taxes do not yet appear to be factored into bottom-up company earnings forecasts.
- Tariffs: the Republicans favour widespread import tariffs, which will increase prices in the short term until there is a domestic supply-side response. It is unclear the extent to which the tariff policy is a negotiating position or a firm commitment so forecasting the outcome is problematic. Some industries and supply chains may be impacted more than others and there is a possibility that it may complicate the Federal Reserve's efforts to get inflation back down to its 2% target. Tariffs are a policy tool exercised through the executive order giving a Republican president agency, even in the event of a split election result.
- The budget deficit: neither candidate has expressed a strategy for managing the size of the budget deficit. For the moment capital markets are accepting continued debt issuance. But if spending is pushed so far that the U.S. has to borrow to pay interest costs, market concerns over the sustainability of debt levels would increase, pushing up market interest rates. This would 'crowd out' availability of funding for companies, not just in the U.S. but internationally, and be a negative surprise given market expectations for lower rates in the U.S. and Europe.

It is important to remember that only voters get a chance to express what they think *should* happen. Equity markets and investors can only consider what *may* happen. This is an expression of risk but is also a risk that investors can choose how to respond to.

For the market, it is worth noting that elections are a regular feature of investing in democracies. They are always contentious and there is always speculation on the outcome. This can have a detrimental impact on investor confidence in the short-term, but the political situation is rarely as clear as it is the day after polling when the outcome is known. The increase in political clarity and reduction in uncertainty is usually a positive dynamic for equity markets. However, if there is a contested result, such as was seen in 2000, this dynamic may be weakened and delayed.

Tuning out the noise

Some investors may be tempted to express their views on what may, or even what should, happen to make allocations based upon polling or political concerns. In contrast, we recognise that we do not have a competitive advantage in predicting the outcome of the election; we invest in businesses, we are not political pundits. We think it would be a poor use of our risk budget, therefore, to allocate active risk to politics and are treating it as a risk to be diversified across a portfolio rather than an opportunity.

We consequently have maintained a balanced portfolio stance across multiple sectors, with the significant majority of active risk derived from stock-specific sources, consistent with our fundamental led, bottomup approach. To the extent that policy actions lead to changes within industries, such as growth rates or the nature of competitive advantage, we will adjust our research agenda and, if necessary, our bottom-up assessment of company fundamentals. For example, heightened geopolitical tensions and the threat of tariffs is leading many companies to bring sourcing closer to home. This has fed into our assessment of end-market growth, a constituent part of our fundamental assessment of companies, and has led us to favour businesses exposed to factory automation whilst reducing exposure to investments exposed to international trade.

Elections need not be bad news

Although elections create a degree of uncertainty, they are a constant of investing in democracies and it is a feature of democracies that candidates compete through policies they believe will improve the lot of voters. Over the long-term, it hasn't tended to be elections that have determined long-term investment experience, but the value creation of corporate enterprise that has proceeded almost uninterrupted, save for occasional interruptions from economic cycles, war and pestilence. If investors want to speculate on the short-term outcome of the U.S. election for what should or may happen, betting on markets seem to be the most efficient way of doing so, not through their portfolios.

For institutional use only - Not for public distribution.

This document was prepared by RBC Global Asset Management (UK) Limited (RBC GAM UK), authorised and regulated by the UK Financial Conduct Authority (FCA), registered with the US Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and a member of the National Futures Association (NFA) as authorised by the US Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC). In the United States, this document may also be provided by RBC Global Asset Management (U.S.) Inc. ("RBC GAM-US"), a SEC registered investment adviser. The entities noted above are collectively referred to as "RBC BlueBay" within this document. The registrations and memberships noted should not be interpreted as an endorsement or approval of RBC BlueBay by the respective licensing or registering authorities. With respect to the investment performance presented, past performance is not indicative of future performance. Actual account performance may or will vary from the performance shown because of differences in market conditions; client-imposed investment restrictions; the time of client investments and withdrawals; tax considerations; economies of scale; portfolio turnover; the number, type, availability, and diversity of securities that can be purchased at a given time; differences in the underlying currency of the assets in the account, and other factors. Client assets managed using these strategies in separate accounts or different vehicles may be subject to restrictions, fees or expenses that are materially different than those found in the non-US funds.

This document is confidential and, without RBC BlueBay's consent, may not be (i) copied, photocopied or duplicated in any form by any means or (ii) distributed to any person that is not an employee, officer, director or authorized agent of the recipient. Information herein is believed to be reliable but RBC BlueBay does not warrant its completeness or accuracy. This document contains information collected from independent third party sources. For purposes of providing these materials to you, neither RBC BlueBay nor any of its affiliates, subsidiaries, directors, officers, or employees, has independently verified the accuracy or completeness of the third-party information contained herein. The information contained herein does not constitute investment, tax, accounting or legal advice. Recipients are strongly advised to make an independent review with their own advisors and reach their own conclusions regarding the investment merits and risks, legal, credit, tax and accounting aspects of all transactions. Any risk management processes discussed refer to efforts to monitor and manage risk but should not be confused with and do not imply no or low risk. No chart, graph, or other figure provided should be used to determine which strategies to implement or which securities to buy or sell.

Opinions contained herein reflect the judgment and thought leadership of RBC GAM and are subject to change at any time. Such opinions are for informational purposes only and are not intended to be investment or financial advice and should not be relied or acted upon for providing such advice. RBC GAM does not undertake any obligation or responsibility to update such opinions. RBC GAM reserves the right at any time and without notice to change, amend, or cease publication of this information.

Past performance is not indicative of future results. With all investments there is a risk of loss of all or a portion of the amount invested. Where return estimates are shown, these are provided for illustrative purposes only and should not be construed as a prediction of returns; actual returns may be higher or lower than those shown and may vary substantially, especially over shorter time periods. It is not possible to invest directly in an index.

Some of the statements contained in this material may be considered forward-looking statements which provide current expectations or forecasts of future results or events. Forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance or events and involve risks and uncertainties. Do not place undue reliance on these statements because actual results or events may differ materially from those described in such forward-looking statements as a result of various factors. Before making any investment decisions, we encourage you to consider all relevant factors carefully.

Copyright 2024 © RBC BlueBay. RBC GAM is the asset management division of Royal Bank of Canada (RBC) which includes RBC Global Asset Management Inc. (RBC GAM Inc.), RBC Global Asset Management (U.S.) Inc. (RBC GAM-US), RBC Global Asset Management (U.S.) Limited (RBC GAM-UK), RBC Global Asset Management (Asia) Limited (RBC GAM-Asia) and RBC Indigo Asset Management Inc. (RBC Indigo), which are separate, but affiliated subsidiaries of RBC. ® / Registered trademark(s) of Royal Bank of Canada and BlueBay Asset Management (Services) Ltd. Used under licence. RBC Global Asset Management (UK) Limited, registered office 100 Bishopsgate, London EC2N 4AA, registered in England and Wales number 03647343. All rights reserved.

Published October 2024

RE/0140/10/24

